

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION		
10/766,396	01/28/2004	Robert A. Erickson	K-2081	3342	
27877 75	590 04/26/2006		EXAMINER		
KENNAMETAL INC.			ADDISU, SARA		
P.O. BOX 231 1600 TECHNOLOGY WAY			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
LATROBE, PA 15650			3722		

DATE MAILED: 04/26/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/766,396	ERICKSON, ROBERT A.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Sara Addisu	3722		

	Sara Addisu		3722	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sh	eet with the co	rrespondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED <u>18 April 2006</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APF				
1.  The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or or this application, applicant must timely file one of the follo places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in complian time periods:	n the same day as filir wing replies: (1) an ar otice of Appeal (with a	ng a Notice of A mendment, affic appeal fee) in co	Appeal. To avoid aba davit, or other eviden ompliance with 37 Cl	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this a no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7	Advisory Action, or (2) th later than SIX MONTHS (b). ONLY CHECK BOX	from the mailing	date of the final rejection	on.
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office late may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	dension and the corresp shortened statutory peri r than three months afte	onding amount o od for reply origin	of the fee. The appropri nally set in the final Office	iate extension fee ce action; or (2) as
<ol> <li>The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comfliing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any external a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed.</li> </ol>	ension thereof (37 CFI	R 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th	
AMENDMENTS	hk	-		
<ol> <li>The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,</li> <li>(a) ☐ They raise new issues that would require further co</li> <li>(b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below.)</li> </ol>	onsideration and/or se ow);	earch (see NOT	E below);	
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in be	tter form for appeal b	y materially red	ucing or simplifying	the issues for
appeal; and/or (d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding numb	er of finally reje	cted claims	
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.3	·	er or illiany reje	cted claims.	
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1		tice of Non-Con	noliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s			, pilatie i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i	(
<ol> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ol>	llowable if submitted	•	•	
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is profile the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1-17. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			be entered and an e	explanation of
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE				
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, be because applicant failed to provide a showing of good ar was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>				
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar</li> </ol>	overcome <u>all</u> rejection ry and was not earlier	ns under appea presented. Se	l and/or appellant fai ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1	ils to provide a 1).
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	on of the status of the	claims after en	try is below or attach	ned.
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered by	ut does NOT place the	e application in	condition for allowar	nce because:
12. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).  13. ☐ Other:	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-	1449) Paper No	o(s)	
			Monica CART	Carre
Sana	ADDESU C	4/25 <b>808</b> EF	RVISORY PATENT	EXAMINER
<b>9</b>				

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 7-05)

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The amended claims do not further simplify the claimed subject matter: refer to claim 1 (lines 1-2 & 10-1), claim 5 (lines 1-2 & 12-13) and claim 9 (lines 2 & 7-8. The amendment raises new limitation that requires further searching.